SINGLE BENCH

(5)

CFg002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(C.G.)

.....

WRIT PETITION(S) 291 OF 2007

PETITIONERS :- 1. Kaushal Chandrakar,son of Shri Harakh Ram Chandrakar, aged about 36 years.

2. Khamman Lal Chandrakar, son of late Shri Bishat Lal Chandrakar, aged about 43 years.

3. Ramnarayan Chandrakar,son of Shri Nathu Ram Chandrakar,aged about 58 years.

4. Satbir Singh son of Shri Har Dutt Singh,aged about 35 years.

5. Smt. Bhuwaneshwari Shukla,wife of Om Kumar Shukla,aged about 36 years.

6. Km. Renuka Sharma, daughter of Shri Ram Prasad Sharma,aged about 29 years.

7. Ashish Borkewar,son of Shri D.R.Borkewar,aged about 32 years

8. Rajeshwar Chandrakar son of Shri Raghunath Prasad Chandrakar,aged about 26 years

9. Dr. Jeevan Lal Chandrakar,son of Shri Dau Lal Chandrakar,aged about 30 years.

All are working as Assistant Professor at Shantri Bai Arts, Commerce and Science College, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund(C.G.)

P.R. No. 30/1/07
Presented by Sunil Sahu
dated 6/11/07

RECEIVED-COPY
6/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,Through Secretary,Higher Education, D.K.S.Bhawan,Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director, Higher Education,Directorate, Chhattisgarh,Raipur.

3. Collector,Mahasamund, District Mahasamund,(C.G.)

4. Vice-Chancellor. Pandit Ravi Shankar Shukla, University.Raipur(C.G.)

5. Shantri Bai Arts,Commerce and Science College,Through its Principal,Mahasamund,District-Mahasamund(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(99)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. (S) No. 291 of 2007

Petitioners — Kaushal Chandrakar & others

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Awadh Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioners.
Shri Sushil Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No. 1 to 3.
None for the respondents No. 4 and 5.

ORDER

(Passed on this 19th day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were duly appointed in accordance with the orders passed on different dates i.e. 15.5.2005, 1.8.1998, 1.8.2003, 20.7.2002, 1.10.1993, 1.9.1994, 1.8.1997, and 1.8.1999. The petitioners have been working continuously on their respective posts in the respondent No.5 College. The petitioners have been denied their pay scales since December, 2005. The petitioners have filed a complaint to the Vice Chancellor on 21.9.2006 (Annexure P/13) but the same has not been decided till date.
2. In view of the above, the petitioners are allowed to make a detailed representation, in categorical terms, to the respondent No.4 within a period of 15 days from today and the respondent No.4 is directed to consider and decide the representation, if any, in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation along with a copy of this order.
3. With the above direction, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur